## 22-10382-mg Doc 88 Filed 02/28/23 Entered 03/01/23 10:52:42 Main Document

Pg 1 of 16 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----X Case No. 22-10382-mg

. Chapter 11 IN RE:

. One Bowling Green

ALEXANDER BERNARD KASPAR, . New York, NY 10004

## 22-10382-mg Alexander Bernard Kaspar Ch. 11

Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: Motion to dismiss pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 1112 (b). (Doc ## 79 to 81, 83)

> HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN UNITED STATES CHIEF BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE DEBTOR: MATTHEW CABRERA, ESQ. (Zoom)

> M. Cabrera & Associates 2002 Route 17M, Suite 12 Goshen, New York 10924

HARRY L. SUDWISCHER, ESQ. M. Cabrera & Associates

8 Birch Road

New Milford, Connecticut 06776

SPECIAL COUNSEL FOR LEWIS D. WROBEL, ESQ. (Zoom)
TOWN OF PUTNAM VALLEY: Handel & Carlini

1984 New Hackensack Road

Poughkeepsie, New York 12603

FOR U.S. TRUSTEE: SHANNON SCOTT, ESQ. (Zoom)

SHARA CLAIRE CORNELL, ESQ. (Zoom) Office of the United States Trustee

One Bowling Green

New York, New York 10004

FOR PUTNAM COUNTY MARK J. GROSS, ESQ. (Zoom)

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE: Wilson, Elser, Moskovitz,

Edelman & Dicker, LLP 1133 Westchester Avenue

White Plains, New York 10604

Proceedings digitally recorded.

Transcript produced by: Catherine M. Griffin

American Legal Transcription 11 Market Street - Suite 215 - Poughkeepsie, NY 12601 Tel.: (845) 452-3090 - Fax: (845) 452-6099 AmericanLegalTranscription.com

	Pg 2 01	10	2
INDEX			
		<u>Pa</u>	ge(s)
COURT'S PRELI	MINARY COMMENT	S RE MOTION TO DISMISS:	5
COURT SETS FU	JTURE ORDER TO	SHOW CAUSE HEARING DATE:	9
MOTION TO DISMISS CHAPTER 11 CASE (DOC. #S 79 to 81, 83)			
Motion:	Mr. Wrobel	[reserved argument to 3/9/23]	
Response:	Ms. Scott	[reserved argument to 3/9/23]	
Response:	Mr. Cabrera	[reserved argument to 3/9/23]	
Ruling:	The Court	[taken under advisement]	15

```
(Proceedings commence at 10:00 a.m.)
1 II
             COURT OFFICER: All right. Starting the recording
2
3 for February 16, 2023, at 10:00 a.m, calling Alexander Kaspar,
   Case Number 22-10382.
            Mr. Wrobel, if you could un-mute and give your
5
   appearance, please.
             MR. WROBEL (Zoom): Yes. Lewis D. Wrobel, Of Counsel
  with Handel & Carlini, Special Counsel for the Town of Putnam
  Valley.
             COURT OFFICER: Thank you.
10
             All right. Good morning.
11
             MS. CORNELL (Zoom): Good morning. Shara Cornell, on
12
13 behalf of the Office of the United States Trustee. I don't see
14 my colleague, Shannon Scott yet, but I can -- I can appear for
   this case.
             COURT OFFICER: All right. Thank you.
16
            MS. CORNELL: You're welcome.
17
             COURT OFFICER: All right. Mr. Harry?
18
            MR. SUDWISCHER (Zoom): Good morning.
19
             COURT OFFICER: Good morning. If you could give your
20
   appearance, please.
21
            MR. SUDWISCHER: I'm here for Mr. Kaspar.
22
             COURT OFFICER: All right. Thank you.
23
             Mr. Cabrera, please give your appearance.
24
             MR. CABRERA (Zoom): Matthew Cabrera, M. Cabrera &
25
```

```
1 NAssociates, for the Debtor.
            COURT OFFICER: All right. Thank you.
2
            Ms. Scott, if you could un-mute, and give your
3
 4 appearance, please.
            MS. SCOTT (Zoom): Good morning. Shannon Scott, for
5
 6 the U.S. Trustee.
             COURT OFFICER: All right. Thank you.
7
            All right. The parties with the following numbers,
9 if you could un-mute one at a time, and give your appearance,
10 and state which case you're here for. The 15612126126, I see
_{11} you're un-muted. Again, the 15612126126 number, please
12 identify yourself.
            MR. GROSS (Zoom): Hi. I apologize. This is Mark J.
13
14 Gross, appearing in the matter of Alexander Bernard Kaspar.
                                                                We
15 represent Putnam County Department of Finance, the Secured
16 Creditor.
             COURT OFFICER: Okay. So you're appearing on the
17
18 Kaspar case? This is -- I'm sorry, I couldn't hear your name?
                        Oh, yes. Mark J. Gross.
            MR. GROSS:
19
             COURT OFFICER: Mr. Gross. Okay. Perfect.
20
21 you.
            MR. GROSS: Thank you.
22
             COURT OFFICER: All right. The 9177146803 number, if
23
24 you could un-mute and identify yourself, please.
             UNIDENTIFIED COUNSEL: (No audible response.)
25
```

```
COURT OFFICER: All right. I have you down as Sonya
1
   Pearson for the St. John Pentecostal Church case, so I'm going
   to put you back in the waiting room for now.
             Is Mr. Kaspar going to be joining? I have his
 4
  appearance down.
             MR. CABRERA:
                          I am unsure. He said he might if he
6
   could get on, but he had some things this morning that he was
   trying to move around to make himself available.
             COURT OFFICER: All right. Thank you.
9
             And then is Mr. Ledwin also going to be joining Mr.
10
   Gross?
11
                         No. He will not be today.
             MR. GROSS:
12
             COURT OFFICER: All right. Are we waiting on anyone
13
   else to anyone's knowledge?
             MR. CABRERA: I would believe we're waiting on Mr.
15
   Sudwischer from --
16
             COURT OFFICER: He's joined.
17
             MR. CABRERA: Oh, he's joined? Oh, okay. Thank you.
18
             COURT OFFICER: Yes.
19
             All right.
                        Judge, would you like to start?
20
                        Sure. Thank you very much, Deanna.
21
             THE COURT:
             Good morning to everybody. We are here on the Town
22
   of Putnam Valley's motion to dismiss this Chapter 11 case. Let
   me give us some preliminary comments before we move into that.
24
                    JUDGE'S PRELIMINARY COMMENTARY
25
```

THE COURT: Mr. Wrobel, I'm going to permit you to 1  $2 \parallel \text{argue}$  the motion. I'll hear your argument on it, but as I will 3 elaborate on in a little while, I expect to take your motion under advisement. And I'm going to be issuing an order to show 5 | cause why this case should not be converted to a case under Chapter 7; or alternatively, why a Chapter 11 Trustee should 7 not be appointed.

And your papers in support of your motion to dismiss are very thorough, Mr. Wrobel. And what it did was really 10 | highlight for my attention something I probably should have seen before. I certainly was aware that this is Mr. Kaspar's 12 second filing; that he had a prior Chapter 11 case before Judge Morris in Poughkeepsie, which he had filed in 2018, 18-36862. And that case was dismissed on the motion of the United States Trustee on February 3, 2022. That's in 18-36862, the order of dismissal is ECF Docket Number 320.

When I reviewed --

17

18

19

22

Ms. Scott, I appreciate you being here today.

-- when I reviewed your motion, Mr. Wrobel, I went back and also reviewed the docket in the earlier case; it 21 raised serious questions.

And I think you pointed out, and it raised serious 23 questions as to whether the Debtor and Mr. Cabrera violated the 24 express terms of an order entered by Judge Morris in connection 25 with the sale of property. That property was Parcel 72-1-47.

```
INWell, excuse me, it's not; that's the parcel that's involved
  here that -- let me just look through.
             The parcel that was sold during that first case,
3
  during the case before Judge Morris, is described as Tax Map
5 Numbers 72.19-1-29 and 83-1-1. That property Judge Morris
  approved the sale of that property in a sale order again, in
7 her case, ECF Docket Number 292.
             Ms. Scott, that's very relevant to the issues that
  I'm going to raise, and are going to be raised in the order to
10 \parallelshow cause. The sale order in approving that prior sale by
   Judge Morris, ECF Docket 292, the sale order provided that:
             "As promptly as practical after the closing of the
12
  sale, the Debtor shall set aside with his attorney to be held
  in the attorney's escrow account $400,000, the estimated amount
  of remediation costs...."
   I will leave out the rest of the words.
             And then picking up the quote:
17
             "These funds are to be escrowed for payment of the
18
  future remediation costs of Parcel 72-1-47. Once approval is
  obtained from the New York Department of Environmental
21 Conservation to begin the remediation, these funds will be
   disbursed to the Debtor's DIP account in draws...."
   I'll leave some words out in the quote there.
             That sale order, likewise provided at page 9 -- so
24
25 that what I just read, is at page 4 of that sale order. At
```

```
1 page 9 of the sale order, it included the following --
             You include this I think, Mr. Wrobel, in your papers.
2
             -- "The terms of the sale order and any actions taken
 3
   pursuant hereto shall survive entry of an order which may be
  entered...."
   And then I'll leave some words out.
             In subsection (c) of it:
7
             "...dismissing this Chapter 11 case."
8
  And then leaving some words out, it says:
             "The terms and conditions of this sale order
10
  notwithstanding the entry of any such order as described,
12 including the dismissal, shall continue in this Chapter 11
  case...."
13
             And then it -- "...or following dismissal of this
14
  Chapter 11 case." That's at page 9 of that order.
             It appears from the papers that Mr. Wrobel has filed
16
17 in support of his motion to dismiss that the Debtor and Mr.
18\parallelCabrera may have violated the express terms of the sale order
19 by distributing funds that were in Mr. Cabrera's escrow
20 account, distributed to Mr. Kaspar, the Debtor; to Mr. Kaspar's
21 partner, Grace De La Brera (phonetic), who is signed in for
22 this hearing today; and to Mr. Cabrera, for payment of his
23 attorney's fees.
             And so the order to show cause that I plan to enter
24
25 needs an explanation. Mr. Cabrera briefly addressed some of
```

5

9

16

17

21

22

1 | these issues in the response he filed here, which I found totally unenlightening, because it does appear to me that the 3 sale order was very particular about requiring the escrow of the funds and the use that was to be made of it.

And as I understand it, Mr. Cabrera, disbursed the funds from his escrow account including for payment of the fees 7 that he was owed in violation -- arguably, in violation -- and that's what they're going to have to answer to me.

So, you know, Mr. Wrobel, whether this case gets dismissed in large measure, frankly what I won't countenance is violation of the order that Judge Morris specifically entered. 12 If the case is converted to Chapter 7, or a Chapter 11 Trustee 13 is appointed, I think the Town of Putnam Valley will wind up getting the relief it's seeking without having to go back to state court.

I've seen certainly the history of what transpired in state court, the receiver, et cetera. But what I can't countenance is what appears to be a violation of the order that Judge Morris entered -- in terms of the order that Judge Morris So that's setting a backdrop.

COURT SETS FUTURE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE HEARING DATE

THE COURT: I'm going to let you -- you know, I do 23 want to hear your argument, Mr. Wrobel. Because it may be that after hearing argument on the order to show cause -- and I'm, just so we're clear -- I'm setting the argument, a hearing on

2

6

10

16

22

```
1 | the order to show cause for March 9th, 2023, at 10 a.m.
             And I'm going to require that Mr. Kaspar and Mr.
   Cabrera shall file written responses to this order to show
   cause stating any reasons why such relief shall not be ordered.
5 And the due date for that is March 2nd, 2023, at 12 noon.
             So, I'll hear your argument. And, Mr. Wrobel, I'm
   just not sure whether the result is going to be: a dismissal,
   or whether it's going to be a conversion to Chapter 7; or the
   appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee.
             Or if I don't grant any of that relief, if I'm
   satisfied with the responses I get to the order to show cause,
   I will then -- you know, I'll decide also whether or not to
  grant your motion to dismiss, and just let you go back to state
  court and continue your very lengthy fight that's gone on
   there. So I wanted to get that all on the record.
             And, Ms. Scott, once you see the order to show cause,
17 I really -- I guess there's one other aspect of the order of
  dismissal. The order dismissing the case February 3 -- the
  first case -- February 3, 2022, again Case Number 18-36862, ECF
  Docket Number 320, included the following language in the
   order. It says:
21
             "The order requires the Debtor to 'fie an affidavit
23 of disbursements for each month in which he did not file an
   operating report and pay to the U.S. Trustee quarterly
25 | fees....'"
```

1 | I'll leave some words out.

2

9

11

19

25

Well, no affidavit was filed to comply with that. 3 operating reports were filed. So the, you know, disbursements during the case, during that first case are a total blank. 5 | I think that's something that you and your colleagues may want to look at, as well as the issue of whether or not Debtor and 7 Mr. Cabrera violated the express terms of the order which expressly survived any dismissal, which is what would happen.

All right. So with that, Mr. Wrobel, why don't you go ahead and argue your motion.

MR. WROBEL: Your Honor, since you have indicated 12 that there's going to be an order to show cause issued by the 13 Court, might it be better that I reserve my argument to that date to -- after we find out what some of the responses are to the order to show cause, and see what is in the best interest of my client and the best interest of Creditors? I'd be happy 17 to go forward today, but I think maybe I should make that argument on March 9th.

THE COURT: That's fine. I'll permit you to arque 20 your motion on March 9th. Obviously, I'm very interested in 21 seeing what response -- responses, because I want the Debtor's 22 position, and I want Mr. Cabrera's position. Certainly, if Mr. Cabrera, if -- I don't know. The order -- the sale order, bear with me a second. The sale order had the following language:

"As promptly as practical after the closing of the

```
1 | sale, the Debtor shall set aside with his attorney to be held
   in the attorney's escrow account $400,000, the estimated amount
3 of remediation costs."
  I'll stop the quote there.
             From what I've seen, it seems to me there may never
5
 6 have been $400,000 put in that escrow account to start out.
7 don't know what the dollar amount is. I certainly want to know
8 how much was deposited in the escrow account? Was there ever
  \parallelany disclosure to the Court about what the amount that was
  deposited into the escrow account? I didn't see anything when
   I looked at the docket.
             And then I want to know precisely the who, what,
12
  where, and when money was disbursed out of the escrow account.
  I gather that a portion of it went to the Debtor, Mr. Kaspar; a
  portion of it went to Ms. De La Brera; and a portion of it went
  to Mr. Cabrera for payment of his attorney's fees.
             And I want to know exactly what was in the escrow
17
  account. What were the sale proceeds from the sale of the
19 property that Judge Morris approved? Where did the money go?
20 Were there any funds other than what went into the escrow
21 account, and where did it go? I mean those are all questions
   that I have after I read --
             Again, Mr. Wrobel, I think your motion papers were
23
24 very thorough. You argue that history of showing that this
```

25 second case was a bad-faith filing. And it may well be; it may

```
1 | not be. I don't know. But I guess the -- it certainly caused
me to go back and review pretty carefully, personally the
3 docket from the case before Judge Morris.
             So I will permit you -- again, I'm scheduling the
5 hearing for March 9th, at 10 a.m. We'll do it by Zoom again.
            Ms. Scott, I don't know -- I don't know whether
6
7 there's anything you want to say at this point? I mean
  obviously, I don't know whether you were aware of any of what
  I'm raising now. My antenna went up as soon as I read these
10 papers, and then I went back and looked at the earlier order.
             You know, actually, the last thing that I focused on
11
12 was the dismissal order that required that the Debtor filed an
13 affidavit -- since there had never been an operating report --
14 requiring that there be an affidavit setting, you know, that
  identified all the disbursements. Well there never was one
  filed, so.
16
            But go ahead, Ms. Scott.
17
    TRUSTEE'S RESPONSE RE ORDER OF DISMISSAL/ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
18
            MS. SCOTT: Your Honor, yes. Your Honor, that does
19
20 sometimes happen. And there is an automatic sort of default
21 notice generated from our office. I would definitely need to
22 look into this a little more carefully. And Your Honor has
23 enlightened me, and we will bring these facts and look more
24 carefully at the previous case as you have enlightened me at
```

25 this hearing.

```
So I appreciate that. And it is cause for concern
1
 with respect to the sale proceeds, and the issue with the order
3 that was entered in the previous case; we will look closely to
   that.
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
5
             MS. SCOTT:
                        Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
             THE COURT: And I'd just say, you know, Mr. Wrobel's
  motion -- the Town of Putnam Valley's motion, all of this
  relates to the remediation costs for the property that the
  Debtor still owns. And I quess there is -- I did approve the
   sale of one other property during this case.
             And I, you know -- I think, Ms. Scott, it's a fair
12
  question, where's all the -- where's the money? Where has the
14 money gone, et cetera?
             And look, Mr. Cabrera, I'm not making any threats,
15
16 but if I'm not satisfied with the answers about the disposition
17 of funds in your escrow account, which the order was very clear
  as to what it was to be used for, and that it survived any
19 dismissal of the case, I would consider -- I'm not considering
20 lit at this point -- but just so you're aware, that I will
21 consider referring this matter with respect to your conduct to
22 the disciplinary committee of the Southern District of New
  York.
23
             This is a really serious matter. What you said in
24
25 your response, you said, "Oh, there are two orders that are
```

```
there anything you want to say now, or do you want to wait
3 until the March 9th hearing?
            MR. CABRERA: I'll wait until the March 9th hearing,
5 \parallel Y Your Honor. I have all the information with regards to the
  funds.
     RULING/ADJOURNING TOWN OF PUTNAM VALLEY'S MOTION TO DISMISS
            THE COURT: All right. So I'm adjourning
8
  the motion to dismiss the Town of Putnam Valley has filed until
10 \parallelthe March 9th hearing. I should be entering -- the Court
  should be entering the order to show cause today. And again,
12 it's why the case shouldn't be converted to a Chapter 7 -- a
13 case under Chapter 7; or alternatively, why a Chapter 11
  Trustee should not be appointed.
            All right. Deanna, you can call the next case.
15
            MR. WROBEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
            MR. KASPAR: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
       (Proceeding adjourned at 10:17 a.m.)
18
                              -000-
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATION I, Catherine M. Griffin, certify that the foregoing 3 transcript of proceedings is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Catherine M. Griffin Date: February 27, 2023 AMERICAN LEGAL TRANSCRIPTION 8 11 Market Street, Suite 215 Poughkeepsie, New York 12601 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25